

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JS-6

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. SACV 23-01031-CJC (ADSx)

Date: July 28, 2023

Title: STEPHAN BROWN ET AL. V. AMANDA BLOOM ET AL.

---

PRESENT:

**HONORABLE CORMAC J. CARNEY, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

Rolls Royce Paschal  
Deputy Clerk

N/A  
Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF: ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANT:

None Present

None Present

**PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER DISMISSING WITHOUT  
PREJUDICE ACTION FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION**

On June 12, 2023, Plaintiffs Attitude Adjustment, Inc., and Stephan Brown, individually and on behalf of Attitude Adjustment, filed this suit, asserting claims against Defendants Gatunlati Co., Inc., Amanda Bloom, and unnamed Does for (1) fraudulent inducement, (2) breach of fiduciary duty, (3) breach of contract, (4) fraudulent concealment, (5) conversion, and (6) negligence. (Dkt. 1.)

Plaintiffs asserted subject matter jurisdiction solely based on diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332. (*Id.* ¶ 5.) However, there is plainly no complete diversity among the parties. Plaintiffs allege that Attitude Adjustment is incorporated in California and has its principal place of business in California and that Gatunlati is likewise organized in California and has its principal place of business in California. (*Id.* ¶¶ 1, 3.) Plaintiffs do not allege Brown or Bloom’s citizenships, but they allege that Bloom resides in this district. (*Id.* ¶ 4.) Because there is no complete diversity, the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction and hereby **DISMISSES WIHTOUT PREJUDICE** this action.

jso

MINUTES FORM 11  
CIVIL-GEN

Initials of Deputy Clerk RRP